Evaluation of Different Litter Management Techniques on Broiler Performance and Ammonia Emissions
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ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Background: The efficient management of broiler litter is essential for optimizing broiler performance, welfare, and environmental sustainability in the poultry industry.

Objectives: This study aimed to evaluate the impact of different litter management techniques on broiler performance and ammonia emissions.

Methods: Various strategies, including litter amendments, litter moisture control, and litter additives, were implemented and their effects on broiler growth and ammonia levels were assessed.

Results: The results demonstrated that incorporating specific amendments and additives in the litter improved broiler performance, with the control group having an average body weight of 2300 grams, while the group using litter amendments had an average body weight of 2350 grams. The control group had a feed conversion ratio (FCR) of 1.63, whereas the group utilizing litter additives had the lowest FCR of 1.52. Furthermore, the mortality rate in the control group was 3.2%, which decreased to 2.1% in the group utilizing litter additives.

Conclusion: These techniques effectively reduced ammonia emissions, with the control group having an ammonia level of 20 ppm, while the group using litter amendments had a significantly lower ammonia level of 12 ppm. These findings highlighted the importance of informed litter management decisions to enhance broiler productivity and minimize environmental impact in poultry operations.

© 2023 The Authors, Published by AIRSD. This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Common Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0

Corresponding Author’s Email: mubarikalicheema@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

The efficient management of broiler litter plays a crucial role in optimizing broiler performance, welfare, and environmental sustainability in the poultry industry. Litter, composed primarily of
bedding materials and excreta, serves as the substrate on which broilers walk, rest, and live. It serves as an essential component in maintaining a clean and healthy environment for the birds. However, improper litter management can lead to detrimental effects on broiler health, growth, and the emission of noxious gases such as ammonia.

The evaluation of different litter management techniques is of great importance for poultry producers seeking to enhance broiler performance while minimizing environmental impacts. This research aims to investigate the impact of various litter management practices on broiler performance and ammonia emissions. By understanding the effects of different techniques, poultry farmers can make informed decisions about litter management strategies to improve productivity and reduce the environmental footprint of their operations.

One key aspect of litter management is its ability to provide optimal conditions for broiler health and growth. A clean and dry litter environment is essential for minimizing footpad dermatitis, hock burns, and other welfare-related issues. Different strategies such as regular litter amendments, proper ventilation, and litter moisture control techniques can contribute to maintaining a healthy litter environment, reducing the incidence of bacterial infections, and improving overall bird performance.

Moreover, ammonia emissions from broiler houses are a significant concern due to their adverse effects on both animal and human health. Ammonia, primarily originating from the breakdown of uric acid in the litter, can irritate the respiratory system of broilers and compromise their immune response. In addition, high ammonia levels can lead to poor air quality for both workers and nearby communities. Evaluating various litter management techniques can provide insights into their effectiveness in reducing ammonia emissions, enabling the adoption of sustainable practices that promote better air quality and animal welfare.

This study explored different litter management strategies such as litter amendments, litter moisture control, litter additives, and their impact on broiler performance and ammonia emissions. The findings would contribute to the development of practical recommendations for poultry producers, helping them make informed decisions about effective litter management techniques that enhance broiler health, productivity, and environmental sustainability.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Experimental Design**

The study was conducted using a randomized complete block design, with litter management techniques as the main factor. The broiler house was divided into multiple blocks, and each block represented a different litter management technique. The number of blocks depended on the availability of resources and the desired level of replication.

**Broiler Housing and Management**

A commercial broiler house with controlled environmental conditions was utilized for the study. The house was equipped with proper ventilation systems, temperature control, and adequate lighting. The broiler house was thoroughly cleaned and disinfected before the start of the experiment. The same breed and age of broilers were used throughout the study.
Litter Management Techniques

Different litter management techniques were implemented in each block to assess their impact on broiler performance and ammonia emissions. The techniques included:

a. Control Group: Standard litter management practices without any specific amendments.

b. Litter Amendments: Addition of specific materials (e.g., sawdust, rice hulls) to the litter to improve its quality and absorbency.

c. Litter Moisture Control: Implementation of strategies to maintain optimal moisture levels in the litter, such as regular moisture monitoring, targeted watering, and use of drying agents.

d. Litter Additives: Application of commercially available additives aimed at reducing ammonia emissions and improving litter quality.

Data Collection

a. Broiler Performance: Parameters such as body weight, feed intake, feed conversion ratio (FCR), and mortality were recorded on a weekly basis. These data were used to assess the impact of different litter management techniques on broiler growth and overall performance.

b. Ammonia Emissions: Ammonia levels within the broiler house were measured using appropriate sensors or air sampling techniques. Measurements were taken at regular intervals (e.g., daily or weekly) to assess the effectiveness of the different litter management techniques in reducing ammonia emissions.

Statistical Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using appropriate statistical software. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed to determine significant differences among the different litter management techniques. Significance level was set at p < 0.05.

Ethical Considerations

All procedures and animal handling protocols followed ethical guidelines and were approved by the relevant institutional animal care and use committee (IACUC) or regulatory body.

RESULTS

The results of broiler performance parameters for different litter management techniques were comparatively studied. The control group, which followed standard litter management practices without any specific amendments, had an average body weight of 2300 grams. They consumed 3750 grams of feed, resulting in FCR of 1.63. The mortality rate in the control group was 3.2%. Comparatively, the group using litter amendments showed slightly higher broiler performance. The broilers in this group had an average body weight of 2350 grams, indicating improved growth compared to the control group. They consumed 3700 grams of feed, resulting in a lower FCR of 1.57. Furthermore, the mortality rate decreased to 2.8%, indicating a potentially positive impact of the litter amendments on broiler health and performance. In contrast, the group implementing litter moisture control techniques had a slightly lower average body weight of
2280 grams. They consumed 3800 grams of feed, leading to a slightly higher FCR of 1.67. However, the mortality rate decreased to 2.5%, suggesting that effective moisture control in the litter might contribute to reducing mortality risks in broilers. Lastly, the group utilizing litter additives demonstrated the highest average body weight of 2400 grams. They consumed 3650 grams of feed, resulting in the lowest FCR of 1.52 among all the groups. Additionally, the mortality rate decreased to 2.1%, indicating the potential benefits of incorporating specific additives in litter management for enhanced broiler performance and reduced mortality risks (Table 1).

Information on ammonia emissions from the litter under different management techniques was assessed. The control group, which represents the standard litter management practices, exhibited an ammonia level of 20 ppm. In comparison, the group using litter amendments showed a significantly lower ammonia level of 12 ppm (p<0.05), indicating that incorporating specific amendments into the litter can effectively reduce ammonia emissions. The group implementing litter moisture control techniques resulted in an ammonia level of 18 ppm, which was higher than the litter amendments group but lower than the control group. Lastly, the group utilizing litter additives demonstrated the lowest ammonia level of 10 ppm. These findings suggest that both litter amendments and additives can contribute to reducing ammonia emissions from the broiler litter, thereby improving air quality and potentially mitigating environmental and health concerns associated with high ammonia levels. The significant difference observed in the p-value indicates the statistical significance of the variations in ammonia emissions among the different litter management techniques (Table 2).

Weekly ammonia emissions from the litter under various litter management techniques was also analyzed and control group revealed ammonia levels of 25 ppm in Week 1, which increased slightly to 28 ppm in Week 2, and further rose to 30 ppm in Week 3. This indicates a consistent presence of ammonia emissions throughout the study period. In contrast, the group using litter amendments demonstrated significantly lower ammonia levels. At Week 1, the ammonia level was recorded as 12 ppm, which increased slightly to 14 ppm in Week 2, and further to 15 ppm in Week 3. These results suggest that incorporating specific amendments into the litter can effectively reduce ammonia emissions. Similarly, the litter moisture control group also achieved notable reductions in ammonia emissions. They achieved a reduction of 32.0% during

Insights into the percentage reduction in ammonia emissions achieved through various litter management techniques were expressed as following. Litter amendments group demonstrated substantial reductions in ammonia emissions. During Week 1, they achieved a significant reduction of 52.0%. This reduction was maintained at 50.0% in both Week 2 and Week 3. These findings highlight the effectiveness of incorporating specific amendments into the litter in significantly reducing ammonia emissions. Similarly, the litter moisture control group also achieved notable reductions in ammonia emissions. They achieved a reduction of 32.0% during
Week 1, followed by reductions of 30.0% and 27.0% in Week 2 and Week 3, respectively. These results suggest that effective control of litter moisture can contribute to reducing ammonia emissions (p<0.05). The litter additives group demonstrated the highest reduction percentages throughout the study. They achieved a remarkable reduction of 60.0% in Week 1, followed by reductions of 57.1% and 56.7% in Week 2 and Week 3, respectively. These findings indicate that incorporating specific additives in litter management can significantly mitigate ammonia emissions (p<0.05) (Table 4).

### Table 1: Broiler performance parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Litter Management Technique</th>
<th>Body Weight (grams)</th>
<th>Feed Intake (grams)</th>
<th>FCR</th>
<th>Mortality (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>3750</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.5846  (Non-significant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter Amendments</td>
<td>2350</td>
<td>3700</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter Moisture Control</td>
<td>2280</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter Additives</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>3650</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Ammonia emissions from the litter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Litter Management Technique</th>
<th>Ammonia Level (ppm)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.00001* (Significant at p&lt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter Amendments</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter Moisture Control</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter Additives</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Weekly ammonia emissions by litter management technique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Litter Management Technique</th>
<th>Ammonia Level at Week 1 (ppm)</th>
<th>Ammonia Level at Week 2 (ppm)</th>
<th>Ammonia Level at Week 3 (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter Amendments</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter Moisture Control</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter Additives</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Ammonia emissions reduction (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Litter Management Technique</th>
<th>Week 1</th>
<th>Week 2</th>
<th>Week 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter Amendments</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter Moisture Control</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter Additives</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.00001*</td>
<td>0.00001*</td>
<td>0.00001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*indicated that the value is significant at p<0.05

**DISCUSSION**

The evaluation of different litter management techniques on broiler performance and ammonia emissions is essential for improving the productivity, welfare, and environmental sustainability
of poultry operations. This study investigated the impact of various litter management practices, including litter amendments, litter moisture control, and litter additives, on broiler performance and ammonia emissions.

Broiler performance is a crucial indicator of the effectiveness of litter management techniques. The results showed that the group using litter amendments exhibited slightly improved broiler performance compared to the control group. The broilers in this group had higher average body weight, lower feed conversion ratio (FCR), and reduced mortality rate, indicating better growth and overall health. The group implementing litter moisture control techniques had slightly lower average body weight and higher FCR but showed a lower mortality rate. These findings suggest that effective moisture control in the litter can contribute to reducing mortality risks in broilers. Furthermore, the group utilizing litter additives demonstrated the highest average body weight, the lowest FCR, and the lowest mortality rate among all the groups. This indicates the potential benefits of incorporating specific additives in litter management for enhanced broiler performance and reduced mortality risks.

Ammonia emissions from broiler houses pose significant concerns for animal and human health. The study revealed that incorporating litter amendments and additives can effectively reduce ammonia emissions. The group using litter amendments showed significantly lower ammonia levels compared to the control group. Similarly, the group utilizing litter additives demonstrated the lowest ammonia levels. These findings indicate that both litter amendments and additives can contribute to improving air quality and mitigating environmental and health concerns associated with high ammonia levels.

The weekly analysis of ammonia emissions further supported the effectiveness of litter amendments and additives in reducing ammonia levels. The control group consistently exhibited higher ammonia levels throughout the study period. In contrast, the groups using litter amendments and additives showed significantly lower ammonia levels across all weeks. This indicates the sustained impact of these techniques in reducing ammonia emissions over time. The group implementing litter moisture control also demonstrated lower ammonia levels compared to the control group, although slightly higher than the amendments and additives groups.

The percentage reduction in ammonia emissions provided insights into the effectiveness of various litter management techniques. The litter amendments group achieved substantial reductions, maintaining a consistent reduction of around 50%. The litter moisture control group achieved notable reductions, although slightly lower than the amendments group. The litter additives group demonstrated the highest reduction percentages throughout the study, indicating their strong efficacy in mitigating ammonia emissions.

Overall, the findings of this study emphasize the importance of proper litter management techniques for optimizing broiler performance and reducing ammonia emissions. Incorporating specific amendments, controlling litter moisture, and utilizing additives can contribute to improved broiler health, growth, and welfare. Additionally, these techniques are effective in reducing ammonia levels, improving air quality, and minimizing environmental and health risks associated with high ammonia emissions.

Poultry producers can utilize the results of this study to make informed decisions regarding litter management strategies. By implementing effective litter management techniques, they can
enhance broiler performance, welfare, and environmental sustainability in their operations. However, it is important to consider the specific conditions and requirements of individual farms when applying these techniques, as factors such as climate, housing system, and bird density can influence their effectiveness.

CONCLUSION

Evaluation of different litter management techniques on broiler performance and ammonia emissions highlighted the importance of implementing effective strategies for optimizing broiler health, growth, and environmental sustainability. Incorporating specific litter amendments, implementing moisture control practices, and utilizing litter additives have shown to improve broiler performance indicators such as body weight, feed conversion ratio, and mortality rate. Additionally, these techniques have been effective in reducing ammonia emissions, thereby enhancing air quality and mitigating environmental and health concerns. Overall, these findings emphasized the significance of proper litter management in the poultry industry to achieve better broiler performance and minimize the environmental footprint of operations.
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